

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/692,657	10/24/2003	Akira Muroi	Swan Case 800	9932
23474	7590 11/24/2004		EXAMINER	
FLYNN THIEL BOUTELL & TANIS, P.C.			HEWITT, JAMES M	
2026 RAMBI KALAMAZO	LING ROAD OO, MI 49008-1699		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3679	
			DATE MAILED: 11/24/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/692,657	MUROI ET AL.	98				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	-				
	James M Hewitt	3679					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence ad	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 O	<u>ctober 2003</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 49	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 October 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 	•)-(d) or (f).					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		ion No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			Stage				
application from the International Bureau	·						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	ed.					
Attachment(s)	"	(0.70)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Ll Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTC	D-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Drawings

Figures 5 and 6 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected
drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office
action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should
be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.121(d)) so
as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not
accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any
required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings
will not be held in abeyance.

Note that the brief description of figures 5 and 6 (see 'BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWNGS) should be amended to indicate that figures 5 and 6 are prior art.

Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper language for an abstract of the disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/692,657

Art Unit: 3679

The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided.

Claim Objections

Claims 1-7 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 1 line 7, "these" should be replaced with "each of said".

In claim 4 line 1, "said through hole" should be replaced with "each of said through holes".

In claim 4 line 2, the second instance of "the" should be replaced with "each".

In claim 4 line 3, "respective" should be inserted before "shoulder".

In claim 4 line 4, "corresponding" should be inserted before "nut".

In claim 6 line 2, "the outer side" of what?

In claim 6 line 2, "the inner circumference" of what?

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 3, the phrase "and the like" renders the claim(s) indefinite because the claim(s) include(s) elements not actually disclosed (those

Application/Control Number: 10/692,657

Art Unit: 3679

encompassed by "and the like"), thereby rendering the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fritz (US 1,948,211).

With respect to claim 1, Fritz discloses a pipe joint comprising a hollow cylindrical joint body (15, 16) made of elastic material with a prescribed length, wherein a circular flange (14) is embedded into each of the both ends of the joint body, a plurality of through holes are provided communicating axially at prescribed intervals in the direction of circumference of said flanges and the joint body, and said both flanges are connected to the joint body with a connecting appliance penetrated into these through holes.

With respect to claim 3, wherein said connecting appliance comprises a through bolt and a nut.

With respect to claim 5, wherein on the surface of the outer side of said both flanges, a plurality of screw holes (two of the holes for bolts 17) for

connecting piping (10) with an outward opening is provided in the direction of the circumference at prescribed intervals.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2, and 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fritz (US 1,948,211).

With respect to claim 2, Fritz's joint body is made of rubber. It is unclear whether this rubber is heat-resistant having rigidity with several millimeters of displacement absorbency against eccentricity, expansion and contraction.

Nevertheless, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ such a heat-resistant rubber, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

With respect to claim 6, the Examiner takes official notice of a convex seal. And it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify Fritz such that the outer surface of the joint body (as at 16) to include rounded projections or bumps as an alternative means for sealing against linings (13).

With respect to claim 7, Fritz fails to teach the claimed reinforcement member. The Examiner takes official notice of such a member, and it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ such a member within body portion (15) in order to impart added strength and stability to the joint body.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 4 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Also note that the allowability of claim 4 is contingent upon overcoming the above noted claim objections to claims 1 and 4. See *Claim Objections* above.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James M Hewitt whose telephone number is 703-305-0552. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 930am-600pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel Stodola can be reached on 703-308-2686. The

fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JAMES M. HEWITT

Page 7